Doc # 402101 Fee: \$0.00

Commissioners Minutes

Macque L. Bohleen, Clerk & Recorder, Carbon County, MT

Recorded 12/17/2024 At 1:19 PM

By Malishy sperty

204

COMMISSIONERS' PROCEEDINGS

November 19, 2024

Commissioners Scott Miller, Scott Blain, and Bill Bullock, Deputy Clerk Katrina Kruger and Administrative Officer Angela Newell were present.

8:30 Pledge of Allegiance.

8:35 Public Comment. Newell reported two applications have been submitted for the Justice of the Peace vacancy. The application deadline is Tuesday, November 26 and she expects interviews to be held the first week of December. Blain reported that Page Dringman had called him to give notice she will be resigning as Floodplain Administrator by the end of the fiscal year. Bullock suggested contracting with the Conservation District. Miller would prefer to contract for space and equipment, but not give up County employee supervision. Discussion was held regarding coordinating with the Conservation District. Presiding Officer Scott Miller recessed the meeting until the next agenda item.

9:00 Federal General Election Canvas. Election Administrator Crystal Roascio joined the meeting. Bullock asked if he should excuse himself as he was on the ballot for this election. Roascio advised his signature on the certification would be required as a member of the Board of County Commissioner's. Roascio reported on election results and the status of rejected and provisional ballots. Commissioners inquired about reasons a ballot would be rejected. Roascio reported ballots could be rejected if signatures were missing or did not match what was on file and all but ten of the ballots rejected for signature matters were resolved. Approximately 75% of the electorate voted by absentee ballot, with only about 25% voting at the polls. Roascio feels that the high absentee rate justifies centralized tabulating. The cost of installing tabulators at each polling place would be prohibitive and centralized tabulation is safe and secure. Newell reported the election went very smoothly and included staff being responsible for certain aspects of the election process in order for Roascio to oversee the complete process. Roascio reported the post-election audit reflected the legitimacy of the election process, and every ballot could be accounted for. Bullock moved to accept the official canvas of the 2024 Federal General Election. Miller seconded. Motion carried. Presiding Officer Scott Miller recessed the meeting until the next agenda item.

9:30 Area II Agency on Aging – Certification of Match. Discussion was held regarding frustrations on the length of time it takes for the federal funding to be disbursed. Blain moved to approve the match support for the Area II Agency on Aging, Bullock seconded. Motion carried. Presiding Officer Scott Miller recessed the meeting until the next agenda item.

COMMISSIONERS' PROCEEDINGS

November 19, 2024 (cont.)

10:00 Human Resources Quarterly Update. HR Administrator Kate Asbury joined the meeting for the Quarterly Update. The Public Health Clerk position is in the conditional hiring stage with fingerprinting and background checks completed. The new hire should be in place by early December.

The Joliet Road Shop position garnered 28 applicants, and 18 are being interviewed. Miller asked about the process for narrowing down the applicant pool. Asbury noted ten of the applicants did not acknowledge having a current CDL and were dropped from the applicant pool. Asbury reported the Sheriff's office has one opening in Dispatch. Four interviews are scheduled on Thursday for the Weed District Clerk. Comp time forms must be submitted by December 2nd for employee comp time payout. Asbury is working with Montana State Fund on the training schedule for Road Shop trainings. The trainings are no cost to the County, and improve the County's work comp standings. The Montana Department of Labor and Industry Auditor has not yet submitted reports for the Joliet Road Shop safety inspection. Asbury reported the November 2024 Health in Wellness program had the highest attendance percentage to date. Asbury said there are no new work comp reports and no new incident reports to share. Presiding Officer Scott Miller recessed the meeting until the next agenda item.

10:30 Consent Agenda. Bullock moved to approve the Consent Agenda consisting of Hiring Authorization for a Clerk in the Clerk and Recorder's office; Purchase Request for \$1,525 from the Road & Bridge Fund for a bead breaker for tires and safety tool for changing truck tires; Purchase Request for \$2,322.50 from the Building Department for Lights; Carbon County Justice Court Bank Reconciliation Report; Bylaws of the Carbon County Emergency Medical (EMS) Advisory Board and minutes of Commissioner's proceedings for October 24, 2024. Commissioner Blain seconded the motion. Motion carried.

10:55 Clarks Fork Yellowstone Valley Partnership Agreement-Channel Migration Zone Mapping Grant. The contract formalizes the arrangement between CFYP and the County for the Channel Migration Zone (CMZ) Mapping project, funded by the Montana Department of Natural Resources and Conservation (DNRC). It ensures compliance with DNRC requirements and facilitates reimbursement for CFYP's administrative time as outlined in the RDG Planning Grant RITP-23-0185 MOU. Bullock moved to approve Clarks Fork Yellowstone Valley Partnership Agreement-Channel Migration Zone Mapping Grant. Blain seconded. Motion carried.

11:00 Set Clerk and Recorder New Deputy Wage. Clerk and Recorder Bohleen joined the meeting to request an increase the wage for Deputy Kruger to 75% of the

COMMISSIONERS' PROCEEDINGS

November 19, 2024 (cont.)

Clerk & Recorder elected official base wage for the remainder of the 2024/2025 fiscal year. Bullock asked if the Clerk and Recorder's budget would allow for the wage increase. Bohleen confirmed. Bullock moved to approve the new Deputy wage, Blain seconded. Miller led a discussion regarding Deputy Clerk Lola Ashby's retirement plans. Bohleen advised Ashby will be retiring May 1, 2025 and while she may take some PTO before then, the expectation is that she will work through that date. After no further discussion, the motion carried. Presiding Officer Scott Miller recessed the meeting until the next agenda item.

11:30 DES Quarterly Update. DES Coordinator Cyrina Allen joined the meeting for the quarterly update. Allen discussed the progress on 2022 and 2023 flood projects, mitigation grant projects and the potential of using the Hazard Mitigation Grant program for the Tuttle Lane Bridge. Allen noted cross training on the CodeRED System and FEMA related tasks. Allen and Commissioners discussed the installation of a generator at the Personal Services building, the costs of a new generator vs. moving an existing one, funding mechanisms to pay for it, and the benefits of keeping the generator at the Fairgrounds which include a backup Emergency Operations Center and shelter facility. Allen reported on her mission to Virginia for hurricane relief. She was assigned to the Virginia State EOC. She assisted in coordination of shelter, feeding, and transport. Allen is now one of the first volunteer liaisons within the State of Montana. Allen requested the Bridger Road crew to grease the bearings on the DES trailers. Miller approved, but suggested it may be a couple of weeks. Presiding Officer Scott Miller recessed the meeting until the next agenda item.

1:00 Amended Lot 9, RnR Elk Resort Subdivision, final plat approval. Contract Planner Forrest Mandeville, Clerk and Recorder Macque Bohleen, and Kate Stout of Red Lodge Surveying joined the meeting. The Board of County Commissioners had reviewed the preliminary plat at their meeting of July 30, 2024, and given conditional approval. Contract Planner Mandeville reported all ten conditions had been met. Having reviewed and considered the application materials, project memorandum, and all the information presented, Bullock moved to approve the Amended Lot 9, RnR Elk Resort Subdivision, final plat. Miller seconded. Bullock requested confirmation that all conditions had been met. Mandeville confirmed. Miller expressed concerns with continued subdividing of lots within previously platted subdivisions and the effects thereof, including the use of dry hydrants and the concerns with those types of fire mitigation systems. Following the discussion, the motion carried. Presiding Officer Scott Miller adjourned the meeting.

COMMISSIONERS' PROCEEDINGS

November 19, 2024 (cont.)

ATTEST:

Clerk & Recorder

Commission Presiding Officer

Respectfully submitted: Deputy Clerk, Katrina Kruger



Office of the Election Administrator

2024 Federal General Election Ballot Reconciliation

Precinct	Remaining # of Retained Absentee Ballots	Remaining # of Ballot Returned from Poll	Total # of Remaining Ballots	Total # of Absentee Ballots Issued	Total # of Ballots Issued at Poll	Total # of Spoiled &/or Voided Ballots at the Poll	Total # of Ballots Issued	Total # for Accounted Ballots (Remaining and Issued)	Total # of Ballots Ordered	DIFFERENCE
1	117	114	231	537	136	0	673	904	905	-1
2	70	102	172	245	122	0	367	539	540	-1
3	101	108	209	563	206	2	771	980	980	0
4	65	89	154	288	127	1	416	570	570	0
5	65	103	168	330	147	0	477	645	645	0
6	60	131	191	322	127	2	451	642	640	2
7	82	148	230	563	217	0	780	1010	1015	-5
8	79	150	229	601	123	2	726	955	955	0
9	55	152	207	367	88	0	455	662	660	2
10	94	114	208	447	136	0	583	791	795	-4
11	128	249	377	1119	196	0	1315	1692	1690	2
15	115	118	233	530	192	0	722	955	950	5
16	54	67	121	341	93	0	434	555	555	0

Totals	1085	1645	2730	6253	1910	7	8170	10900	10900	0


2024 Federal General Election

Registered Votes = 9, 052

Votes Cast = 7, 464

Turn out = 82.5%

VOTES CAST



Rejected Ballots = 40

Undeliverable Ballots = 110

Provisional Ballots = 58

- 55 resolved election night
- 2 resolved the Tuesday following
- 1 rejected

UOCAVA Ballots = 54

- Type
 - Oversees Citizens = 48
 - o Military = 6
- Method
 - o Email = 4
 - o Mail = 16
 - \circ EAS = 34



POST ELECTION AUDIT RECONCILIATION FORM AND REPORT TO SECRETARY OF STATE - FEDERAL OFFICE

Submit completed and signed form to the Secretary of State no later than the deadline for submitting the official county canvass.

Election Date		November 5, 2024
County Name		Carbon
Precinct Name/Number	2.171	Precinct 3
Contest	11.30	President & Vice President

Type of Tabulator Used in the Precinct	DS200
Tabulator Serial /ID #	Machine 1
Ballot Container Seal # (if # is used)	GEN 24-032 , GEN 24-047, GEN 24-062

Total Ballots Counted by Hand* 704

Total Ballots Counted by Tabulator as Shown on Tape* 704

*Note: The County Audit Committee may not see the	machine totals befo	ore the hand count						
Column A: Candidate Names/ Ballot Issue #/ Type of Votes	Column B: Hand Count Audit Tally	Column C: Machine Tally* (Filled in by Election Administrator after Hand Count Tally)		Column E: Difference (Hand Tally Minus Machine Count Tally)	Column F: Number of Explainable Differences (Administrative or Election Official Error)	Column G: Explainable Difference Reasonst	Column H: Unexplained Difference† (Column F Subtracted from Column E) "Hand Count is Over/ Under by #:"	Column I: Other Comments/ Explanations†
				1)		
Chase Oliver & Mike Ter Maat	3	3	3.5	0			0	
			1 1			1 1		\
Kamala D Harris & Tim Walz	115	115		С			e	
			1.5			1		1
Robert F Kennedy Jr & Nichole Shanahan	8	8	1000	0			00	L
						}		{
Jill Stein & Rudolph Ware	11	11		0	<u></u>	<u> </u>	0	
				į į		1	_	
Donald J Trump & JD Vance	559	559		0		<u> </u>	0	
			133	1		j	_	į į
	<u> </u>			<u> </u>		 	00	
	į į		***				o	1
	<u> </u>			 		Counted a mark on both bubbles as	 	
	{					overvote on a ballot that could have been	0	ļ
Overvotes	4	3	 	+1	1	the write in.		
	ì			ļ			0	ì
Undervotes	10	10	-	ļ		Counted a mark on both bubbles as		
	1			1		overvote on a ballot that could have been	0	
Write-in Votes	4	5	1	-1	11	this write in.	 	
	1			1		Į.	g	
Other Votes Specify:		<u> </u>	نيل	0	The second secon	The state of the s	U Go satharnya kabusah alamah ola saca a sa Sistemah di siban	a de la competita della compet
	704	704	٦,	2	2		0 4	
Total Votes †Where possible, please provide information			an the		the hand count on an attached s	heet.		†
tWhere possible, please provide information	on about the Une	xpiained Difference betwe	en me	macinie count and	are mane court on an arrange of		<u> </u>	

Analysis:	,
is the Unexplained Difference total in Column H at least 5 votes? If so, continue below. If not, stop here.	No. The total Unexplained Difference is not at least 5 votes. The audit of this precinct for this contest is complete.
What is the Percentage (%) Difference Between Hand Counted Ballots and the Unexplained Difference (the Column H Total Votes Divided by the Column B Total Votes)?	Since the Unexplained Difference total is not a least 5 votes, it is not necessary to calculate the percentage difference.
is the Unexplained Difference total at least 5 votes and is the Unexplained Difference greater than 0.5%? If not, then the total is Acceptable. If the precentage is greater than .5%, then the Unexplained Difference is Not Acceptable and county must audit three additional precincts and	votes. The audit of this precinct for this contest is complete.

examine the machine(s).

The random-sample audit may not include a ballot that a vote-counting machine was unable to process and that was not resolved pursuant to 13-15-206 because the ballot: (a) appeared
to have at least one overvote; (b) appeared to be blank; (c) was in a condition that prevented its processing by a vote-counting machine; or (d) contained a mark, error, or omission that
prevented its processing by a vote-counting machine.
prevented its processing by a vote-counting machine.

*Note: The purpose of the post-election audit is to determine whether the tabulating equipment has accurately counted votes. Because the purpose of the post election audit is to determine if the machine counted the votes correctly, the audit committee should be instructed to count votes consistent with the way an optical scan machine would count votes.

	County Audit Committee Signatures:
	Jammy 300 Heloser
/	Walter adams

Election Administrator Signature:	Q



*Note: The County Audit Committee may not see the machine totals before the hand count

POST ELECTION AUDIT RECONCILIATION FORM AND REPORT TO SECRETARY OF STATE - FEDERAL OFFICE

Submit completed and signed form to the Secretary of State no later than the deadline for submitting the official county canvass.

Election Date	November 5, 2024	Type of Tabulator Used in the Precinct DS200
County Name	Carbon	Tabulator Serial /ID # Machine 1
Precinct Name/Number	Precinct 3	Ballot Container Seal # (if # is used) GEN 24-032 , GEN 24-047, GEN 24-062
Contest	US Senator	

Total Ballots Counted by Tabulator as Shown on Tape* 704

Total Ballots Counted by Hand* 704

Sid Daoud	Column A: Candidate Names/ Ballot Issue #/ Type of Votes		Column C: Machine Tally* (Filled in by Election Administrator after Hand Count Tally)	· 有有 多种	Column E: Difference (Hand Tally Minus Machine Count Tally)	Column F: Number of Explainable Differences (Administrative or Election Official Error)	Column G: Explainable Difference Reasons†	Column H: Unexplained Difference† {Column F Subtracted from Column E} "Hand Count is Over/ Under by #:"	Column 1: Other Comments/ Explanations†
Sid Daoud 11]			o l			C	
Substitute National Nationa	Robert Barb	 3							
Init Sheery	Sid Daoud	11	. 11		C			0	
140	Tim Sheehy	542	542		С			0	
Overvotes 0	lan Tortar	140	140		0			0	
Overvotes	Jon rester							0	
Overvotes								0	
Overvotes 0 0 0 0 Undervotes 7 7 0 C Write-in Votes 1 1 0 0 Other Votes – Specify: 0 c 0									
Overvotes 0 0 Undervotes 7 7 0 Write-in Votes 1 1 0 Other Votes – Specify: 0 c		 		3				0	
Undervotes 7 7 7 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Overvotes	0	0					0	
Write-in Votes 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Undervotes	7	7	ė, s	0			С	
Other Votes – Specify:	Write-in Votes	1	1		0			0	
Unier voies - specify								C	
	Other Votes Specify:	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		3	<u> </u>		garma, magazine di tanggar magazin mada et pekangan ang atang	na 122 nati is the territorium proprio 1976, and semple to the	
Total Votes 704 704 0 0 The Total Votes Total Provide information about the Unexplained Difference between the machine count and the hand count on an attached sheet.	Total Votes		704		0	0		0	and the second s

Analysis: is the Unexplained Difference total in Column H No. The total Unexplained Difference is not at at least 5 votes? If so, continue below. If not, least 5 votes. The audit of this precinct for this contest is complete. stop here. What is the Percentage (%) Difference Between Since the Unexplained Difference total is not at Hand Counted Ballots and the Unexplained least 5 votes, it is not necessary to calculate Difference (the Column H Total Votes Divided by the percentage difference. the Column B Total Votes)? Is the Unexplained Difference total at least 5 votes and is the Unexplained Difference greater The Unexplained Difference percentage is not calculated since the total is not at least 5 than 0.5%? If not, then the total is Acceptable. I votes. The audit of this precinct for this the percentage is greater than .5%, then the contest is complete. Unexplained Difference is Not Acceptable and county must audit three additional precincts and

examine the machine(s).

** The random-sample audit may not include a ballot that a vote-counting machine was unable to process and that was not resolved pursuant to 13-15-206 because the ballot: (a) appeared to have at least one overvote; (b) appeared to be blank; (c) was in a condition that prevented its processing by a vote-counting machine; or (d) contained a mark, error, or omission that prevented its processing by a vote-counting machine.

Note: The purpose of the post-election audit is to determine whether the tabulating equipment has accurately counted votes. Because the purpose of the post election audit is to determine if the machine counted the votes correctly, the audit committee should be instructed to count votes consistent with the way an optical scan machine would count votes.

County Aud	t Committe	e Signature	:. aul	-
dan	nmy	300	Helop	



POST ELECTION AUDIT RECONCILIATION FORM AND REPORT TO SECRETARY OF STATE - STATEWIDE OFFICE

Submit completed and signed form to the Secretary of State no later than the deadline for submitting the official county canvass.

Election Date	November 5, 2024	
County Name	Carbon	_
Precinct Name/Number	 Precinct 3	
Contest	Clerk of Supreme Court	

Type of Tabulator Used in the Precinct	DS200
Tabulator Serial /ID #	Machine 1
Ballot Container Seal # (if # is used)	GEN 24-032 , GEN 24-047, GEN 24-062

Total I	Ballots Counted b	/ Tabulator as Sh	own on Tape	704	***	
*Note:	The County Audit Co	mmittee may not se	e the machine to	tals before	the hand count	,

Total Ballots Counted by Hand* 704

Column A: Candidate Names/ Bailot Issue #/ Type of Votes	Column B: Hand Count Audit Tally	Column C: Machine Tally* (Filled in by Election Administrator after Hand Count Tally)		Column E: Difference (Hand Tally Minus Machine Count Tally)	Column F: Number of Explainable Differences (Administrative or Election Official Error)	Column G: Explainable Difference Reasonst	Column H: Unexplained Difference† (Column F Subtracted from Column E) "Hand Count is Over/ Under by R:"	Column I: Other Comments/ Explanations†
i					·			
Erin Farris-Olsen	117	117		0			CC	
Bowen Greenwood	543	543		0			0	
Roger Roots	22	22					0	
	 		· .				0	
L	<u> </u>			L			СС	
			.5.				c	
							c	
Overvotes	 	<u> </u>		0	<u> </u>		c	
Undervotes	21	21					0	
Write-in Votes	11	1		0			00	
Other Votes Specify:	<u></u>			0			С	
	Son		1			thought and the first of the state of the st		opine pro ne kaj kie rijenio primarija mori ili primarija proposi. Popine ili
Total Votes	704	704	1	0	0		0	The state of the s
†Where possible, please provide information	n about the Unex	plained Difference betwee	n the	machine count and	the hand count on an attached sh	eet.		

Analysis:

Is the Unexplained Difference total in Column H No. The total Unexplained Difference is not at at least 5 votes? If so, continue below. If not, least 5 votes. The audit of this precinct for this contest is complete. stop here. What is the Percentage (%) Difference Between Since the Unexplained Difference total is not at Hand Counted Ballots and the Unexplained least 5 votes, it is not necessary to calculate Difference (the Column H Total Votes Divided by the percentage difference. the Column B Total Votes)? is the Unexplained Difference total at least 5 The Unexplained Difference percentage is not votes and is the Unexplained Difference greater calculated since the total is not at least 5 than 0.5%? If not, then the total is Acceptable. If votes. The audit of this precinct for this the percentage is greater than .5%, then the contest is complete. Unexplained Difference is Not Acceptable and

county must audit three additional precincts and

examine the machine(s).

* The random-sample audit may not include a ballot that a vote-counting machine was unable to process and that was not resolved pursuant to 13-15-206 because the ballot; (a) appeared to have at least one overvote; (b) appeared to be blank; (c) was in a condition that prevented its processing by a vote-counting machine.

tNote: The purpose of the post-election audit is to determine whether the tabulating equipment has accurately counted votes. Because the purpose of the post election audit is to determine if the machine counted the votes correctly, the audit committee should be instructed to count votes consistent with the way an optical scan machine would count votes.

County Audit Committee Signatures:

Hammy Soe Holper



POST ELECTION AUDIT RECONCILIATION FORM AND REPORT TO SECRETARY OF STATE - STATEWIDE OFFICE

Submit completed and signed form to the Secretary of State no later than the deadline for submitting the official county canvass.

Election Date		November 5, 2024
County Name		Carbon
Precinct Name/Number	39.73	Precinct 3
Contest	- 1 × 1 At	Super of Public Instruction

Type of Tabulator Used in the Predict	DS200
Tabulator Serial /ID #	Machine 1
Ballot Container Seal # (if # is used)	GEN 24-032 , GEN 24-047, GEN 24-062

Total	Ballots Cour	ited by		Shown on Tap		4 4 5 64	
*Note:	The County A	udit Com	mittee may not	see the machine	totals b	efore the h	and count

Total Ballots Counted by Hand* 704

Susie Hedalen 577 Shannon O'Brien 111 Overvotes Undervotes 15 Write-in Votes 1	577		0				
Shannon O'Brien 111 Overvotes Undervotes 15						C	
Overvotes Undervotes 15	111						
Undervotes 15]] ,				0	
Undervotes 15			·				
Undervotes 15		4				0	
Undervotes 15						0	
Undervotes 15			}	 	 		
Undervotes 15						e	
Undervotes 15		٦.	i				
Undervotes 15			;			0	
Undervotes 15							
Undervotes 15		∤ ;				00	
Undervotes 15					}	0	
		7	,		Ballot with questionable bubble not filled in all the way on write in line, judges		
	14		+1	1	counted as undervote	С	L
luveixe in verse					Ballot with questionable bubble not filled in all the way on write in line, Judges	,	
write-in votes 1] :	-1	1	counted as undervote	0	<u> </u>
	22						
Other Votes Specify:	2	ا ال	0	125 (* 227) ** 255 () () () () () () () () () (Language and Chapan of Garant (Martin Chapan State	0	ing a few or seek on the control of
Total Votes 704			2	2		0	

wugi	4212:

than 0.5%? If not, then the total is Acceptable. If

the percentage is greater than .5%, then the

examine the machine(s).

Unexplained Difference is Not Acceptable and county must audit three additional precincts and

Is the Unexplained Difference total in Column H No. The total Unexplained Difference is not at at least 5 votes? If so, continue below. If not, least 5 votes. The audit of this precinct for this stop here. contest is complete. What is the Percentage (%) Difference Between Since the Unexplained Difference total is not at Hand Counted Ballots and the Unexplained least 5 votes, it is not necessary to calculate Difference (the Column H Total Votes Divided by the percentage difference. the Column B Total Votes)? is the Unexplained Difference total at least 5 The Unexplained Difference percentage is not votes and is the Unexplained Difference greater

calculated since the total is not at least 5

votes. The audit of this precinct for this

contest is complete.

** The random-sample audit may not include a ballot that a vote-counting machine was unable to process and that was not resolved pursuant to 13-15-206 because the ballot: (a) appeared to have at least one overvote; (b) appeared to be blank: (c) was in a condition that prevented its processing by a vote-counting machine; or (s) contained a mark, error, or omission that prevented its processing by a vote-counting machine.

†Note: The purpose of the post-election audit is to determine whether the tabulating equipment has accurately counted votes. Because the purpose of the post election audit is to determine if the machine counted the votes correctly, the audit committee should be instructed to count votes consistent with the way an optical scan machine would count votes.

County Audit Committee Signatures;

Harning See Helper



POST ELECTION AUDIT RECONCILIATION FORM AND REPORT TO SECRETARY OF STATE - LEGISLATIVE OFFICE

Submit completed and signed form to the Secretary of State no later than the deadline for submitting the official county canvass.

Election Date		November 5, 2024	
County Name		Carbon	
Precinct Name/Number		Precinct 3	
Contest	14.6.1	House District 55	

.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
Tabulator Serial/ID# No.	Machine 1
Ballot Container Seal # (if # is used)	GEN 24-032 , GEN 24-047, GEN 24-062

Total Ballots Counted by	Tabulator as Shown		
rotal politics counted 27		 	

Total Ballots Counted by Hand* 704

Column A: Candidate Names/ Ballot Issue #/ Type of Votes	Column B: Hand Count Audit Tally	Column C: Machine Tally* (Filled in by Election Administrator after Hand Count Tally)		Column E: Difference (Hand Tally Minus Machine Count Tally)	Column F: Number of Explainable Differences (Administrative or Election Official Error)	Column G: Explainable Difference Reasons†	Column H: Unexplained Difference† (Column F Subtracted from Column E) "Hand Court is Over/ Under by #:"	Column I: Other Comments/ Explanations†
	575	575		0			С	
Brad Barker	3/3	373						
Kim Gillan	109	109	N.	c			0	
	ļ			<u> </u>			<u> </u>	
	1						C	
				<u> </u>				
i							0	
<u></u>					<u></u>	 	c	
·]						0	
	 -							
Overvotes				0			СС	
						Ballot with questionable bubble not filled in all the way on write in line, judges	C	
Undervotes	20	19		+1	1	counted as undervote Ballot with questionable bubble not filled	<u> </u>	
L				-1	1	in all the way on write in line, judges counted as undervote	0	
Write-in Votes						Cooned as undervote	<u></u> _	
Other Votes - Specify:		<u></u>		0			0	nukkeejojostoon tehanilikuun kuston kuston kuudase, ejäjotta
Total Votes	704	704	18.84	2	<u> </u>	to be the process of the control of the second particles and the second of the second	0	

at least 5 votes? If so, continue below. If not, stop here.	least 5 votes. The audit of this precinct for this contest is complete.
What is the Percentage (%) Difference Between Hand Counted Ballots and the Unexplained Difference (the Column H Total Votes Divided by the Column B Total Votes)?	Since the Unexplained Difference total is not a least 5 votes, it is not necessary to calculate the percentage difference.
Is the Unexplained Difference total at least 5	The Unexplained Difference percentage is not

than 0.5%? If not, then the total is Acceptable. If

the percentage is greater than .5%, then the

examine the machine(s).

Unexplained Difference is Not Acceptable and county must audit three additional precincts and

calculated since the total is not at least 5

votes. The audit of this precinct for this

contest is complete.

Is the Unexplained Difference total in Column H No. The total Unexplained Difference is not at

Analysis:

** The random-sample audit may not include a ballot that a vote-counting machine was unable to process and that was not resolved pursuant to 13-15-206 because the ballot: (a) appeared to have at least one overvote; (b) appeared to be blank; (c) was in a condition that prevented its processing by a vote-counting machine; or (d) contained a mark, error, or omission that prevented its processing by a vote-counting machine.

*Note: The purpose of the post-election audit is to determine whether the tabulating equipment has accurately counted votes. Because the purpose of the post election audit is to determine if the machine counted the votes correctly, the audit committee should be instructed to count votes consistent with the way an optical scan machine would count votes.

	County Audit Committee Signatures:
1	Hammy Soo Holoper
, 1	Welder adams



POST ELECTION AUDIT RECONCILIATION FORM AND REPORT TO SECRETARY OF STATE - STATEWIDE OFFICE

Submit completed and signed form to the Secretary of State no later than the deadline for submitting the official county canvass.

Election Date		November 5, 2024
County Name	4 14 50	Carbon
Precinct Name/Number	4.54	Precinct 8
Contest		Super of Public Instruction

Type of Tabulator Used in the Precinct	DS200
Tabulator Serial /ID #	Machine 2
Ballot Container Seal # (if # is used)	GEN 24-027, GEN 24-054, GEN 24-042

Total Ballots Counted by Tabulator as Shown on Tape* 651

Total Ballots Counted by Hand* 651 (But removed one from count below due to incorrect mark)

*Note: The County Audit Committee may not see the	e machine totals bef	ore the hand count						
Column A: Candidate Names/ Ballot Issue #/ Type of Votes	Column B: Hand Count Audit Tally	Column C: Machine Tally* (Filled in by Election Administrator after Hand Count Tally)		Column E: Difference (Hand Tally Minus Machine Count Tally)	Column F: Number of Explainable Differences (Administrative or Election Official Error)	Column G: Explainable Difference Reasons†	Column H: Unexplained Difference† (Column F Subtracted from Column E) "Hand Count is Over/ Under by #:"	Column I: Other Comments/ Explanations†
						Removed a ballot due to incorrect		
Susie Hedalen	287	288		-1	11	marking	0	
	[_ ·							
Shannon O'Brien	336	336		0		<u></u>	0	
\			31.4	0		<u> </u>	0	
				0		<u> </u>	00	
	1							
Į.	[10	0	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	0	
	1		3		1			
	1			0			00	
	1		1					
	1		445	0			c	
							Į į	
Overvotes	1	1	*2.1	0	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	С	
			,				ľ	
Undervotes	26	26		0		<u> </u>	0	
			-141 5					
Write-in Votes	0			0		1	0	
	1							
Other Votes Specify:			13	o			0	
Other votes – specify.	New RESTART BROWN		14			alaman radion alike alian eraka eraka	on the sent there is a security and consider a feature the research in the continue of	of the section of the
Total Votes	650	651		11	1		0	aa
†Where possible, please provide informatio	n about the Une	xplained Difference betwee	n the	machine count and	the hand count on an attached s	heet.	<u> </u>	··········

Analysis: No. The total Unexplained Difference is not at Is the Unexplained Difference total in Column H least 5 votes. The audit of this precinct for this at least 5 votes? If so, continue below. If not, stop here. contest is complete. What is the Percentage (%) Difference Between Since the Unexplained Difference total is not at Hand Counted Ballots and the Unexplained least 5 votes, it is not necessary to calculate Difference (the Column H Total Votes Divided by the percentage difference. the Column B Total Votes)? Is the Unexplained Difference total at least 5 The Unexplained Difference percentage is not votes and is the Unexplained Difference greater calculated since the total is not at least 5

votes. The audit of this precinct for this

contest is complete.

than 0.5%? If not, then the total is Acceptable. If

county must audit three additional precincts and

the percentage is greater than .5%, then the Unexplained Difference is Not Acceptable and

examine the machine(s).

** The random-sample audit may not include a ballot that a vote-counting machine was unable to process and that was not resolved pursuant to 13-15-206 because the ballot: (a) appeared to have at least one overvote; (b) appeared to be blank; (c) was in a condition that prevented its processing by a vote-counting machine; or (d) contained a mark, error, or omission that prevented its processing by a vote-counting machine.

tNote: The purpose of the post-election audit is to determine whether the tabulating equipment has accurately counted votes. Because the purpose of the post election audit is to determine if the machine counted the votes correctly, the audit committee should be instructed to count votes consistent with the way an optical scan machine would count votes.

County Audit Committee Signatures:



POST ELECTION AUDIT RECONCILIATION FORM AND REPORT TO SECRETARY OF STATE - STATEWIDE BALLOT ISSUE

Submit completed and signed form to the Secretary of State no later than the deadline for submitting the official county canvass.

Election Date	November 5, 2024	Type of Tabulator Used in the Pred
County Name	Carbon	Tabulator Serial /ID #
Precinct Name/Number	Precinct 3	Ballot Container Seal # (if # is used
Contest	CI - 127	

Type of Tabulator Used in the Precinct:	DS200
Tabulator Serial /ID #	Machine 1
Ballot Container Seal # (if # is used)	GEN 24-032, GEN 24-047, GEN 24-062

Total	 Counted	by Tabulat	or as Sho	wn on	Tape*	704	
_	 						 -

Total Ballots Counted by Hand* 704

Note: The County Audit Committee may no		Column C: Machine Tally		Column E: Difference	Column F: Number of Explainable Differences		Column H: Unexplained Difference† (Column F Subtracted	
Candidate Names/ Ballot Issue #/	Column B:	(Filled in by Election Administrator after Hand		(Hand Tally Minus Machine Count	(Administrative or Election Official	Column G:	from Column E)	Column I:
Type of Votes		Count Tally)	3.34	Tally)	Error)	Explainable Difference Reasons†	"Hand Count is Over/ Under by #:"	Other Comments/ Explanations†
fes	145	145		0			0	
						Judges counted ballots that showed intent on the overvote and there were a couple questionable ballots with barely there marks that the judges		
No	536	534		+2		counted (short undervote) Judges counted ballots that showed intent. That	0	
Overvotes	0	1		-1	1	is why this is short the one.	О	
Undervotes	23	24		-1	1	There were a couple questionable ballots with barely there marks that the Judges counted	0	
Other Votes Specify:				0			0	
	galang in the second				Part Control	ي وهي العجادية على ورازية الدور والمسافحة بالدينة بالمراتبة عليه المراتبة	king Tap Parlamen Madillahi Tombon en hat Medical av medikant	gantigrammental control of a fit to the graph for the same and the state of the control of the con-
Total Votes	704)	704		Δ	4		ര	

Total Votes 704 4 4 4 U

TWhere possible, please provide information about the unexplained difference between the original results and the hand count results on an attached sheet.

Analysis:

Is the Unexplained Difference total in No. The total Unexplained Difference is not at least 5 ballots. The audit of this Column H at least 5 votes? If so, continue below. If not, stop here. precinct for this contest is complete. What is the Percentage (%) Difference Between Hand Counted Ballots and the Since the Unexplained Difference total is Unexplained Difference (the Column H not at least 5 votes, it is not necessary to Total Votes Divided by the Column B Total calculate the percentage difference. Votes)? Is the Unexplained Difference total at least 5 votes and is the Unexplained Difference greater than 0.5%? If not, then the total is The Unexplained Difference percentage is Acceptable. If the percentage is greater not calculated since the total is not at than .5%, then the Unexplained Difference least 5 votes. The audit of this precinct is Not Acceptable and county must audit for this contest is complete. three additional precincts and examine the machine(s).

** The random-sample audit may not include a ballot that a vote-counting machine was unable to process and that was not resolved pursuant to 13-15-206 because the ballot: (a) appeared to have at least one overvote; (b) appeared to be blank; (c) was in a condition that prevented its processing by a vote-counting machine; or (d) contained a mark, error, or omission that prevented its processing by a vote-counting machine.

tNote: The purpose of the post-election audit is to determine whether the tabulating equipment has accurately counted votes. Because the purpose of the post election audit is to determine if the machine counted the votes correctly, the audit committee should be instructed to count votes consistent with the way an optical scan machine would count votes.

County Audit Committee Signatures:

Hammy Soo Helsper

Election Administrator Signature:



POST ELECTION AUDIT RECONCILIATION FORM AND REPORT TO SECRETARY OF STATE - STATEWIDE BALLOT ISSUE

Submit completed and signed form to the Secretary of State no later than the deadline for submitting the official county canvass.

Election Date	November 5, 2024
County Name	Carbon
Precinct Name/Number	Precinct 3
Contest	CI-128

Type of Tabulator Used in the Precinct:

DS200
Tabulator Serial / ID #

Ballot Container Seal # (if # is used)

GEN 24-032, GEN 24-047, GEN 24-062

Total Ballots Counted by Tabulator as Shown on Tape* 704

Total Ballots Counted by Hand* 704

*Note: The County Audit Committee may n	ot see the machine t	otals before the hand coun	t					
Column A: Candidate Names/ Ballot Issue #/ Type of Votes	Column B: Hand Count Audit	Column C: Machine Tally* (Filled in by Election Administrator after Hand Count Tally)		Column E: Difference (Hand Tally Minus Machine Count Tally)	Column F: Number of Explainable Differences (Administrative or Election Official Error)	Column G: Explainable Difference Reasons†	Column H: Unexplained Difference† (Column F Subtracted from Column E) "Hand Count is Overf Under by #:"	Column I: Other Comments/ Explanations†
Yes	220	220		D	_		С	
No	466	465		+1	1	Judges counted the intent on a couple ballots that had a small marks in the other both bubble as well, not knowing what the machines read.	O	
	2			-1		Judges counted the intent on a couple ballots that had a small marks in the other both bubble as well, not knowing what the machines read.	C	
Overvotes	 		1.0			knowing what the machines read.		
Undervotes	16	16		0			0	
Other Votes Specify:	The second secon			0			0	was programmed by a financy. The foundation of the same
Total Votes	704	704		,	7		n	
		·			l manulée and the b	and count moults on an attached chest		
Twhere possible, please provide infor	mation about the	e unexpiained dineren	ce per	ween trie origina	i resuits and the t	and count results on an attached sheet.		

Analysis:

Is the Unexplained Difference total in Column H at least 5 votes? If so, continue below. If not, stop here.	No. The total Unexplained Difference is not at least 5 ballots. The audit of this precinct for this contest is complete.
What is the Percentage (%) Difference Between Hand Counted Ballots and the Unexplained Difference (the Column H Total Votes Divided by the Column B Total Votes)?	Since the Unexplained Difference total is not at least 5 votes, it is not necessary to calculate the percentage difference.
Is the Unexplained Difference total at least 5 votes and is the Unexplained Difference greater than 0.5%? If not, then the total is Acceptable. If the percentage is greater than .5%, then the Unexplained Difference is Not Acceptable and county must audit three additional precincts and examine the machine(s).	The Unexplained Difference percentage is not calculated since the total is not at least 5 votes. The audit of this precinct for this contest is complete.

** The random-sample audit may not include a ballot that a vote-counting machine was unable to process and that was not resolved pursuant to 13-15-206 because the ballot: (a) appeared to have at least one overvote; (b) appeared to be blank; (c) was in a condition that prevented its processing by a vote-counting machine; or (d) contained a mark, error, or omission that prevented its processing by a vote-counting machine.

tNote: The purpose of the post-election audit is to determine whether the tabulating equipment has accurately counted votes. Because the purpose of the post election audit is to determine if the machine counted the votes correctly, the audit committee should be instructed to count votes consistent with the way an optical scan machine would count votes.

County Audit Committee Signatures:

Conductor Scarling

Commy Soo Helper

Telda (Idlams



POST ELECTION AUDIT RECONCILIATION FORM AND REPORT TO SECRETARY OF STATE - FEDERAL OFFICE

Submit completed and signed form to the Secretary of State no later than the deadline for submitting the official county canvass.

	November 5, 2024
County Name	Carbon
Precinct Name/Number	Precinct 8
Contest	President & Vice President

Type of Tabulator Used in the Precinct	DS200
Tabulator Serial /ID #	
Ballot Container Seal # (if # is used)	GEN 24-027, GEN 24-054, GEN 24-042

					1.30	
Fo	tal Ballots Counted	by Tabulator	as Shown on	Tape* <u>651</u>	ने स्पर्धाः सम्बद्धाः हो	ورافعه وماءا

Total Ballots Counted by Hand* 651 (But removed one from count below due to incorrect mark)

Column A: Candidate Names/ Column 8:	Machine Tally* (Filled In by Election Administrator after Hand		Column E: Difference (Hand Taily Minus Machine Count Tally) 0 0	Column F: Number of Explainable Differences (Administrative or Election Official Error)	Column G: Explainable Difference Reasons†	Column H: Unexplained Difference† (Column F Subtracted from Column E) "Hand Count is Over/ Under by #:" C	Column I: Other Comments/ Explanations†
Kamala D Harris & Tim Walz 336 Robert F Kennedy Jr & Nichole Shanahan 7 Jill Stein & Rudolph Ware 6 Donald J Trump & JD Vance 276 Overvotes 2	336		0			C 0	
Robert F Kennedy Jr & Nichole Shanahan 7 Jill Stein & Rudolph Ware 6 Donald J Trump & JD Vance 276 Overvotes 2	7			·		0	
Jill Stein & Rudolph Ware 6 Donald J Trump & JD Vance 276 Overvotes 2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		00		1		
Donald J Trump & JD Vance 276 Overvotes 2	6					0	
Overvotes 2		1	0			0	
	277]. [-1	1	Removed a ballot due to incorrect marking	0	
			С			00	
			С			0	
Undervotes 8	2		0			0	
(URUELYULE) 1 D 1	8		0			0	
Write-in Votes 10			c			0	
Other Votes Specify:	10		0			ŋ	
The second secon	10		Classic Strange	ali, sa ki dipaka 12., nga prompa nasa nasa sa t	tigger (der entstager og som giver eine der etter eine der entstager eine eine	topic amend amount of the time that and the second continues and the second	e week a some some of the contract of the second of the se
Total Votes 650		ا (دولار) المراجعة	1	1		00	معره فاعلاء المدران

Analysis:

Is the Unexplained Difference total in Column H No. The total Unexplained Difference is not at at least 5 votes? If so, continue below. If not, least 5 votes. The audit of this precinct for this stop here. contest is complete. What is the Percentage (%) Difference Between Since the Unexplained Difference total is not at Hand Counted Ballots and the Unexplained least 5 votes, it is not necessary to calculate Difference (the Column H Total Votes Divided by the percentage difference. the Column B Total Votes)? Is the Unexplained Difference total at least 5 The Unexplained Difference percentage is not otes and is the Unexplained Difference greater calculated since the total is not at least 5 than 0.5%? If not, then the total is Acceptable. If votes. The audit of this precinct for this the percentage is greater than .5%, then the contest is complete. Unexplained Difference is Not Acceptable and county must audit three additional precincts and examine the machine(s).

* The random-sample audit may not include a ballot that a v				
o have at least one overvote; (b) appeared to be blank; (c) w	as in a condition that prev		contained a mark, error, or	omission that
revented its processing by a vote-counting machine.		N CO	gar verget states that was 🗓	Same of di
"我,我们就是这个人,我们的第一人,我们是一定,这个人的人,我们也没有一个人的。"			the first of the second of the second	

†Note: The purpose of the post-election audit is to determine whether the tabulating equipment has accurately counted votes. Because the purpose of the post election audit is to determine if the machine counted the votes correctly, the audit committee should be instructed to count votes consistent with the way an optical scan machine would count votes.

County Audit	Committee Signatures:	
dam	my See Helmer	
951	D. (when	

Election Administrator S	Ignature)



POST ELECTION AUDIT RECONCILIATION FORM AND REPORT TO SECRETARY OF STATE - FEDERAL OFFICE

Submit completed and signed form to the Secretary of State no later than the deadline for submitting the official county canvass.

Election Date	November 5, 2024
County Name	Carbon
Precinct Name/Number	Precinct 8
Contest San Contest	US Senator

Type of Tabulator Used in the Precinct	DS200
Tabulator Serial /ID #	Machine 2
Ballot Container Seal # (if # is used)	GEN 24-027, GEN 24-054, GEN 24-042

Total Ballots Counted by Tabulator as Shown on Tape* 651

Total Ballots Counted by Hand* 651 (But removed one from count below due to incorrect mark)

*Note: The County Audit Committee may not see th	ne machine totals be	fore the hand count	•							
Column A: Candidate Names/ Ballot Issue #/ Type of Votes	Column B: Hand Count Audit Tally	Column C: Machine Tally* (Filled in by Election Administrator after Hand Count Tally)		Column E: Difference (Hand Tally Minus Machine Count Tally)	Column F: Number of Explainable Differences (Administrative or Election Official Error)	Column G: Explainable Difference Reasonst	Column H: Unexplained Difference† (Column F Subtracted from Column F) "Hand Count is Over/ Under by #:"	Column I: Other Comments/ Explanations†		
Robert Barb	33	3		0			0			
Sid Daoud	5	5		0			0			
Tim Sheehy	258	258		0			0			
Jon Tester	379	380		-1	1	Removed a ballot due to incorrect marking	0			
				0			0			
				0			0			
				0			0			
Overvotes	1	1		0			c			
Undervotes	4	4		0			0			
Write-in Votes	0	0		0			0			
Other Votes Specify:							0			
	green frankliger ook o	Section and a substitution of the section of the se			Charles in Law County of the State of County (Law State See	No. 10 18 4 17 5 February 18 20 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	grows sembles to supplie deliver known assistant violent in the contract of th	Brown State		
Total Votes	650	651	400	1	1		(0)	and the second of the second o		
tWhere possible, please provide informatio	Where possible, please provide information about the Unexplained Difference between the machine count and the hand count on an attached sheet.									

Analysis:

Is the Unexplained Difference total in Column H No. The total Unexplained Difference is not at lat least 5 votes? If so, continue below, If not, least 5 votes. The audit of this precinct for this stop here. contest is complete. What is the Percentage (%) Difference Between Since the Unexplained Difference total is not at Hand Counted Ballots and the Unexplained least 5 votes, it is not necessary to calculate Difference (the Column H Total Votes Divided by the percentage difference. the Column B Total Votes)? is the Unexplained Difference total at least 5 votes and is the Unexplained Difference greater The Unexplained Difference percentage is not than 0.5%? If not, then the total is Acceptable. It calculated since the total is not at least 5 the percentage is greater than .5%, then the votes. The audit of this precinct for this contest is complete. Unexplained Difference is Not Acceptable and county must audit three additional precincts and examine the machine(s).

** The random-sample audit may not include a ballot that a vote-counting machine was unable to process and that was not resolved pursuant to 13-15-206 because the ballot: (a) appeared to have at least one overvote; (b) appeared to be blank; (c) was in a condition that prevented its processing by a vote-counting machine; or (d) contained a mark, error, or omission that prevented its processing by a vote-counting machine.

†Note: The purpose of the post-election audit is to determine whether the tabulating equipment has accurately counted yotes. Because the purpose of the post election audit is to determine if the machine counted the votes correctly, the audit committee should be instructed to count votes consistent with the way an optical scan machine would count votes.

County Audit Committee Signatures:

Challet Scouling



POST ELECTION AUDIT RECONCILIATION FORM AND REPORT TO SECRETARY OF STATE - STATEWIDE OFFICE

Submit completed and signed form to the Secretary of State no later than the deadline for submitting the official county canvass.

Election Date	November 5, 2024
County Name	Carbon
Precinct Name/Number	Precinct 8
Contest	Clerk of Supreme Court

Type of Tabulator Used in the Precinct	DS200
Tabulator Serial /ID # Tabulator /ID # Tabulato	Machine 2
Ballot Container Seal # (if # is used)	GEN 24-027, GEN 24-054, GEN 24-042

Total Ballots Counted by Tabulator as Shown on Tape* <u>651</u>

Total Ballots Counted by Hand* 651 (But removed one from count below due to incorrect mark)

*Note: The County Audit Committee may not see the machine totals before the hand count								
Column A: Candidate Names/ Ballot issue #/ Type of Votes	Column B: Hand Count Audit	Column C Machine Taily* (Filled in by Election Administrator after Hand Count Taily)		Column E: Difference (Hand Tally Minus Machine Count Tally)	Column F: Number of Explainable Differences (Administrative or Election Official Error)	Column G: Explainable Difference Reasons†	Column H: Unexplained Difference† (Column F Subtracted from Column E) "Hand Court is Over/ Under by #:"	Column I: Other Comments/ Explanations†
Erin Farris-Olsen	321	321		0		·	С	
Bowen Greenwood	270	271	では	-1	1	Removed a ballot due to incorrect marking	0	
Roger Roots	25	25		0			0	
				0			0	
				0			0	
			数多数的	0			0	
								
				0			00	
Overvotes	1	1		0.			0	
Undervotes	33	33		00			0	
Write-in Votes	0	0		0			0	
Other Votes Specify:				00			0	
		20 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2				March 1975 and Server 1985 and Architecture	ada Etiegrapia (1. 1866) pre istanterit, freskritstill histo	
Total Votes	650	651	41,112	11	1		0	

Is the Unexplained Difference total in Column H No. The total Unexplained Difference is not at at least 5 votes? If so, continue below. If not, least 5 votes. The audit of this precinct for this stop here. contest is complete. What is the Percentage (%) Difference Between Since the Unexplained Difference total is not at Hand Counted Ballots and the Unexplained least 5 votes, it is not necessary to calculate Difference (the Column H Total Votes Divided by the percentage difference. the Column B Total Votes)? is the Unexplained Difference total at least 5 The Unexplained Difference percentage is not votes and is the Unexplained Difference greater calculated since the total is not at least 5 than 0.5%? If not, then the total is Acceptable. If votes. The audit of this precinct for this the percentage is greater than .5%, then the

Unexplained Difference is Not Acceptable and county must audit three additional precincts and

examine the machine(s).

†Where possible, please provide information about the Unexplained Difference between the machine count and the hand count on an attached sheet.

contest is complete.

* The random-sample audit may not include a ballot that a vote-counting machine was unable to process and that was not resolved pursuant to 13-15-206 because the ballot: (a) appeared to have at least one overvote; (b) appeared to be blank; (c) was in a condition that prevented its processing by a vote-counting machine; or (d) contained a mark, error, or omission that prevented its processing by a vote-counting machine.

Note: The purpose of the post-election audit is to determine whether the tabulating equipment has accurately counted votes. Because the purpose of the post election audit is to determine if the machine counted the votes correctly, the audit committee should be instructed to count votes consistent with the way an optical scan machine would count votes.

County Audit Committee Signatures:

Jammy Soo Helper



POST ELECTION AUDIT RECONCILIATION FORM AND REPORT TO SECRETARY OF STATE - STATEWIDE OFFICE

Submit completed and signed form to the Secretary of State no later than the deadline for submitting the official county canvass.

November 5, 2024
Carbon
Precinct 8
Super of Public Instruction

Type of Tabulator Used in the Precinct	DS200
Tabulator Serial /ID #	Machine 2
Ballot Container Seal # (if # is used)	GEN 24-027, GEN 24-054, GEN 24-042

Total Ballots Counted by Tabulator as Shown on Tape* 651						
*Note: The County Audit Committee may not see the machine totals before the hand count						

Total Ballots Counted by Hand* 651 (But removed one from count below due to incorrect mark)

Column A: Candidate Names/ Ballot Issue #/ Type of Votes	Column B: Hand Count Audit	Column C: Machine Tally ⁴ {Filled in by Election		Column E: Difference (Hand Tally Minus Machine Count Tally)	Column F: Number of Explainable Differences (Administrative or Election Official Error)	Column G: Explainable Difference Reasons†	Column H: Unexplained Difference† (Column F Subtracted from Column E) "Hand Count is Over/ Under by #-"	Column I: Other Comments/ Explanations†
Susie Hedalen	287	288		-1	1	Removed a ballot due to incorrect marking	0	
Susie Heudien	20/	200						
Shannon O'Brien	336	336		0			0	
		į		0			0	
				0			Q	
							0	
	 		,	00			0	
				0			00	
				0			с	
	1	1		0			C	
Overvotes				'				
Undervotes	26	26		0			0	
Write-in Votes	o			0			0	
)	
Other Votes Specify:	22 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 -	AND THE RESERVE	362	0	, mor laggiga i ji zawerengi gare geril, keba	Landard Transport Company Comp	The state of the s	and a second the color of the second of the
Total Votes	650	651		1	1		0	الميواني المراجع
tWhere possible, please provide information about the Unexplained Difference between the machine count and the hand count on an attached sheet.								

Analysis:	,
Is the Unexplained Difference total in Column H at least 5 votes? If so, continue below. If not, stop here.	No. The total Unexplained Difference is not at least 5 votes. The audit of this precinct for this contest is complete.
What is the Percentage (%) Difference Between Hand Counted Ballots and the Unexplained Difference (the Column H Total Votes Divided by the Column B Total Votes)?	Since the Unexplained Difference total is not at least 5 votes, it is not necessary to calculate the percentage difference.
Is the Unexplained Difference total at least 5 votes and is the Unexplained Difference greater than 0.5%? If not, then the total is Acceptable. If the percentage is greater than .5%, then the Unexplained Difference is Not Acceptable and county must audit three additional precincts and	votes. The audit of this precinct for this contest is complete.

Analysis

examine the machine(s).

** The random-sample audit may not include a ballot that a vote-counting machine was unable to process and that was not resolved pursuant to 13-15-206 because the ballot: (a) appeared to have at least one overvote; (b) appeared to be blank; (c) was in a condition that prevented its processing by a vote-counting machine; or (d) contained a mark, error, or omission that prevented its processing by a vote-counting machine.

Note: The purpose of the post-election audit is to determine whether the tabulating equipment has accurately counted votes. Because the purpose of the post election audit is to determine if the machine counted the votes correctly, the audit committee should be instructed to count votes consistent with the way an optical scan machine would count votes.

County Audit Committee Signatures:



POST ELECTION AUDIT RECONCILIATION FORM AND REPORT TO SECRETARY OF STATE - LEGISLATIVE OFFICE

Submit completed and signed form to the Secretary of State no later than the deadline for submitting the official county canvass.

Election Date	November 5, 2024
County Name	Carbon
Precinct Name/Number	Precinct 8
Contest	House District 55

Type of Tabulator Used in the Precinct	DS200
Tabulator Serial/ID# No.	Machine 2
Ballot Container Seal # (if # is used)	GEN 24-027, GEN 24-054, GEN 24-042

Total Ballots Counted by Tabulator as Shown on Tape* 651

*Note: The County Audit Committee may not see the machine totals before the band count

Total Ballots Counted by Hand* 651 (But removed one from count below due to incorrect mark)

Ballot Issue #/	Hand Count Audit Tally	(Filled in by Election Administrator after Hand Count Tally)		Column E: Difference (Hand Tally Minus Machine Count Tally)	Column F: Number of Explainable Differences (Administrative or Election Official Error)	Column G: Explainable Difference Reasons†	Unexplained Difference† (Column F Subtracted from Column E) "Hand Count is Over/ Under by 8:"	Column I: Other Comments/ Explanations†
Brad Barker	317	318	* * * .	-1	1	Removed a ballot due to incorrect	0	
							C	
Kim Gillan	308	308	7	0			0	
				0	L		00	
				0			O .	
				0			0	
		-		0			0	
				0			0	
			数数					
Overvotes	1	11		0	<u> </u>		0	·
Undervotes	24	24		00		<u> </u>	0	
Write-in Votes	0			0			0	
Other Votes Specify:				0			00	
	(650)	651		The first contract of the cont	A CONTRACT BURNING A PLANT CONTRACTOR	Strategy and Strategy and Strategy and Strategy	the analysis of the second section of the second second section of the second s	and which is the control of the second of th
Total Votes G50 651 Life 1 1 CU U tWhere possible, please provide information about the Unexplained Difference between the machine count and the hand count on an attached sheet.								

Analysis: No. The total Unexplained Difference is not at ts the Unexplained Difference total in Column H at least 5 votes? If so, continue below. If not, least 5 votes. The audit of this precinct for this stop here. contest is complete. What is the Percentage (%) Difference Between Since the Unexplained Difference total is not at Hand Counted Ballots and the Unexplained least 5 votes, it is not necessary to calculate Difference (the Column H Total Votes Divided by the percentage difference. the Column B Total Votes)? Is the Unexplained Difference total at least 5 votes and is the Unexplained Difference greater The Unexplained Difference percentage is not calculated since the total is not at least 5 than 0.5%? If not, then the total is Acceptable. If votes. The audit of this precinct for this the percentage is greater than .5%, then the contest is complete. Unexplained Difference is Not Acceptable and county must audit three additional precincts and

examine the machine(s).

1 the random-sample audit may not include a dailot that a vote-counting mach	ine was unable to process and that was not resc	ived pursuant to 13-15-206 because the ballot: (a) appeared
to have at least one overvote; (b) appeared to be blank; (c) was in a condition th	at prevented its processing by a vote-counting r	nachine; or (d) contained a mark, error, or omission that
prevented its processing by a vote-counting machine.	362 30 E 375	
	3.48	

tNote: The purpose of the post-election audit is to determine whether the tabulating aquipment has accurately counted votes. Because the purpose of the post election audit is to determine if the machine counted the votes correctly, the audit committee should be instructed to count votes consistent with the way an optical scan machine would count votes.

County Audit	Committee Signatures:
Clar	nmy Soe Helsoer
Tale	a aldem

Election Administrator Signeture:	_
	ander



POST ELECTION AUDIT RECONCILIATION FORM AND REPORT TO SECRETARY OF STATE - STATEWIDE BALLOT ISSUE

Submit completed and signed form to the Secretary of State no later than the deadline for submitting the official county canvass.

Election Date November 5, 2024	Type of Tabulator Used in the Precinct:
County Name Carbon	Tabulator Serial /ID # Machine 2
Precinct Name/Number Precinct 8	Ballot Container Seal # (if # is used) GEN 24-027, GEN 24-054, GEN 24-042
Contest CI - 127	

,r .	والمرافية الو		11.2 July 12	ulator as S	机类均衡	5 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	S	100	100
TANA	Ballate	Counter	ł hw Tahi	ulator ac S	hown on	てついへき にに			
1 Utai	Dalingto	Counter	JUY IAU	uiatui as s	IIO AATI OII	Tape U.	7.	4 5	

Total Ballots Counted by Hand* 651 (But removed one from count below due to incorrect

*Note: The County Audit Committee may no	ot see the machine t	otals before the hand coun	t					
Column A: Candidate Names/ Ballot Issue #/ Type of Votes	Column B: Hand Count Audit Tally	Column C: Machine Tally* (Filled in by Election Administrator after Hand Count Tally)		Column E: Difference (Hand Tally Minus Machine Count Tally)	Column F: Number of Explainable Differences (Administrative or Election Official Error)	Column G: Explainable Difference Reasons†	Column H: Unexplained Difference† (Column F Subtracted from Column E) "Hand Count is Over/ Under by #:"	Column I: Other Comments/ Explanations†
			A.V			Removed a ballot due to incorrect marking, making		
Va.	305	305		0		this number 304, but then there was a clerical error. Judges switched a Yes and No Vote	0	
Yes	305	303				there was a clerical error. Judges switched a Yes		
No	306	307		-1	1	and No Vote	o	
			fig.					
Overvotes	0	0	\$	_ 0			0	
Undervotes	39	39		0			0	
				Ì				
Other Votes Specify:	<u>L</u>	<u> </u>	133	0			0	
14 10 40 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	District Child Dhas is	esta in traction in a street of sold track		Novi v 12 1 499 4 1 1 1 1	the Great of Children of the	territoria de la compansión de la compan	t i verse et graeuer) e substant trestituituituit	er ts (1865), respectively, solid property of the least o
Total Votes	650	651	3.5	1	1		0	
tWhere possible, please provide infor	mation about the	e unexplained differen	ce bet	ween the origina	I results and the I	nand count results on an attached sheet.		

Analysis: No. The total Unexplained Difference is is the Unexplained Difference total in Column H at least 5 votes? If so, continue not at least 5 ballots. The audit of this precinct for this contest is complete. below. If not, stop here. What is the Percentage (%) Difference Between Hand Counted Ballots and the Since the Unexplained Difference total is Unexplained Difference (the Column H not at least 5 votes, it is not necessary to Total Votes Divided by the Column B Total calculate the percentage difference. Votes)? is the Unexplained Difference total at least 5 votes and is the Unexplained Difference greater than 0.5%? If not, then the total is The Unexplained Difference percentage is Acceptable. If the percentage is greater not calculated since the total is not at than .5%, then the Unexplained Difference least 5 votes. The audit of this precinct for this contest is complete. is Not Acceptable and county must audit three additional precincts and examine the machine(s).

*• The random-sample audit may not include a ballot that a vote-counting machine was unable to process and that was not resolved pursuant to 13-15-206 because the ballot: (a) appeared to have at least one overvote; (b) appeared to be blank; (c) was in a condition that prevented its processing by a vote-counting machine; or (d) contained a mark, error, or omission that prevented its processing by a vote-counting machine.

thote: The purpose of the post-election audit is to determine whether the tabulating equipment has accurately counted votes. Because the purpose of the post election audit is to determine if the machine counted the votes correctly, the audit committee should be instructed to count votes consistent with the way an optical scan machine would count votes.

Sommy Sa Holopa



POST ELECTION AUDIT RECONCILIATION FORM AND REPORT TO SECRETARY OF STATE - STATEWIDE BALLOT ISSUE

Submit completed and signed form to the Secretary of State no later than the deadline for submitting the official county canvass.

†Where possible, please provide information about the unexplained difference between the original results and the hand count results on an attached sheet.

Election Date	November 5, 2024
County Name	Carbon
Precinct Name/Number	Precinct 8
Contest	CI-128

Type of Tabulator Used in the Precinct:	DS200
Tabulator Serial /ID #	Machine 2
Ballot Container Seal # (if # is used)	GEN 24-027, GEN 24-054, GEN 24-042

Total Ballots Counted by Tabulator as Shown on Tape* <u>651</u>

Total Ballots Counted by Hand* 651 (But removed one from count below due to incorrec

*Note: The County Audit Committee may n	ot see the machine t	otals before the hand count						
Column A: Candidate Names/ Ballot Issue #/ Type of Votes	1	Column C: Machine Tally* (Filled in by Election Administrator after Hand Count Tally)		Column E: Difference (Hand Tally Minus Machine Count Tally)	Column F: Number of Explainable Offferences (Administrative or Election Official Error)	Column G: Explainable Difference Reasons†	Column H: Unexplained Difference† (Column F Subtracted from Column E) "Hand Count is Over/ Under by #:"	Column I:
Type of Votes	Tany	Count raily)	1	rany	Error	This should be 433 due to removing a ballot due to	Under by #:	Other Comments/ Explanations†
	İ					incorrect marking but due to a small marking in the yes the machine marked as undervote but Judges		
Yes	434	434	44.1	0		marked as yes.	0	
No	198	198		0			0	
				0				
Overvotes	<u> </u>		100	<u>U</u>			<u>u</u>	
Undervotes	18	19	7.34 2.46 2.45	-1	1		0	
Other Votes — Specify:				0	one plana was to again the		0	
	3 6-5 274 (7/6 4 74)	to the second contract of	್ ಬಿ	1000 Jan 21 14 100 141	NA CONTRACTOR MEMORIES	The state of the s	and the first of t	The second of the Authority of Authority of the Authority
Total Votes	630	651		1	1		0	

Analysis:	<u></u>
Is the Unexplained Difference total in Column H at least 5 votes? If so, continue below. If not, stop here.	No. The total Unexplained Difference is not at least 5 ballots. The audit of this precinct for this contest is complete.
What is the Percentage (%) Difference Between Hand Counted Ballots and the Unexplained Difference (the Column H Total Votes Divided by the Column B Total Votes)?	Since the Unexplained Difference total is not at least 5 votes, it is not necessary to calculate the percentage difference.
Is the Unexplained Difference total at least 5 votes and is the Unexplained Difference greater than 0.5%? If not, then the total is Acceptable. If the percentage is greater than .5%, then the Unexplained Difference is Not Acceptable and county must audit three additional precincts and examine the machine(s).	The Unexplained Difference percentage is not calculated since the total is not at

** The random-sample audit may not include a ballot that a vote-counting machine was unable to process and that was not resolved pursuant to 13-15-206 because the ballot: (a) appeared to have at least one overvote; (b) appeared to be blank; (c) was in a condition that prevented its processing by a vote-counting machine; or (d) contained a mark, error, or omission that prevented its processing by a vote-counting machine.

tNote: The purpose of the post-election audit is to determine whether the tabulating equipment has accurately counted votes. Because the purpose of the post election audit is to determine if the machine counted the votes correctly, the audit committee should be instructed to count votes consistent with the way an optical scan machine would count votes.

County Audit Committee Signatures:

Ochety Scalin

Jammy Soo Helppy

Relda Calum



OFFICIAL CANVASS OF THE NOVEMBER 05, 2024 GENERAL ELECTION

STATE OF MONTANA

ne undersigned hereby certify that the within constitutes a full, true and complete abstract of the umber of electors voting, the name of each individual receiving votes; the office for which the oten were received by precinct, legislative house district or portion thereof, and Ballot Issues as for the within; and the total votes cast for each individual for the offices enumerated herein.

test our hands this 19^{10} day of 000 , 2024.		
ARBON COUNTY BOARD OF CANVASSERS		(9) 31 16
Sut Mile		9/0
Scott C Miller	(SEAL)	
Scott Blain		"mmin"
hu i hul		
Bill E Bullock	Attest my hand and seal this 19th day or	f Movember, 2024.
	Crystal Roascio	$\overline{}$
	Crystal Roascio	
	tate Office Use Only	
Filed in the Office of the Secretary of State on this	day of, 2024 , by	



District	Precinct	Number of Registered Voters	Votes Cast
ID55			
	P 1 ROCKVALE-SILESIA	742	625
	P 10 RED LODGE WARD 3	656	527
	P 11 RURAL RED LODGE	1403	1202
	P 15 FROMBERG-EDGAR	793	656
	P 16 LUTHER-ROSCOE	463	406
	P 2 TOWN OF JOLIET	440	338
	P 3 RURAL JOLIET-BOYD	825	713
	P 4 TOWN OF BRIDGER	479	367
	P 5 RURAL BRIDGER	542	448
	P 6 BELFRY-BEARCREEK	529	398
	P 7 ROBERTS	847	718
	P 8 RED LODGE WARD 2	795	658
	P 9 RED LODGE WARD 2	538	408
	Subtota	9052	7464
To	otal	9052	7464



		PRESIDENT & VICE PRESIDENT								
District	Precinct	KAMALA D. HARRIS	JILL STEIN	CHASE OLIVER	DONALD J. TRUMP	ROBERT F. KENNEDY JR.				
HD 55	P 1 ROCKVALE- SILESIA	114	0	9	476	14				
	P 10 RED LODGE WARD 3	329	2	4	170	15				
	P 11 RURAL RED LODGE	536	6	11	592	21				
	P 15 FROMBERG- EDGAR	106	0	6	527	11				
	P 16 LUTHER- ROSCOE	119	2	4	273	2				
	P 2 TOWN OF JOLIET	67	1	2	251	3				
	P 3 RURAL JOLIET-BOYD	116	1	3	566	9				
	P 4 TOWN OF BRIDGER	70	1	3	275	10				
İ	P 5 RURAL BRIDGER	81	0	1	354	4				
	P 6 BELFRY- BEARCREEK	87	3	5	290	8				
i	P 7 ROBERTS	143	3	3	532	21				
i.	P 8 RED LODGE WARD 2	341	6	5	279	7				
i .	P 9 RED LODGE WARD 2	244	0	9	134	10				
ł	SubTotal	2353	25	65	4719	(135) (135) (135) (135) (135) (135) (135) (135) (135) (135) (135) (135) (135) (135) (135) (135)				
Tota		2353	25	65	4719	135				



District	Drocinat	UNITED STATES SENATOR			
DISTRICT	Precinct	JON TESTER	ROBERT BARB	SID DAOUD	為打M SHEEHY為於
HD 55	P 1 ROCKVALE- SILESIA	157	2	10	455
	P 10 RED LODGE WARD 3	367	3	8	146
	P 11 RURAL RED LODGE	589	1	8	581
	P 15 FROMBERG- EDGAR	146	8	11	488
	P 16 LUTHER- ROSCOE	133	3	3	262
	P 2 TOWN OF JOLIET	90	0	5	238
	P 3 RURAL JOLIET-BOYD	142	3	12	548
]	P 4 TOWN OF BRIDGER	89	5	8	263
	P 5 RURAL BRIDGER	104	3	4	336
	P 6 BELFRY- BEARCREEK	125	5	4	261
İ	P 7 ROBERTS	187	4	14	505
	P 8 RED LODGE WARD 2	385	3	5	260
	P 9 RED LODGE WARD 2	280	0	3	116
ł	SubTotal	2794	40	9510	4459
Total		2794	40	95	4459



Dietrict	Precinct	UNITED STATES	REPRESENTATIVE
DISTRICT	Precinct	JOHN B DRISCOLL	TROY DOWNING
HD 55	P 1 ROCKVALE- SILESIA	119	497
	P 10 RED LODGE WARD 3	314	184
	P 11 RURAL RED LODGE	509	644
	P 15 FROMBERG- EDGAR	112	533
	P 16 LUTHER- ROSCOE	118	279
	P 2 TOWN OF JOLIET	70	257
	P 3 RURAL JOLIET-BOYD	114	583
ļ	P 4 TOWN OF BRIDGER	74	283
	P 5 RURAL BRIDGER	77	358
ĺ	P 6 BELFRY- BEARCREEK	91	295
l	P 7 ROBERTS	141	561
	P 8 RED LODGE WARD 2	333	301
	P 9 RED LODGE WARD 2	242	144
<u> </u>	SubTotal	2314	4919.
Total		2314	4919



_				
District	Precinct		ERNOR & LT. GOVER	
District		RYAN BUSSE	KAISER LEIB	GREG GIANFORTE
HD 55	P 1 ROCKVALE- SILESIA	116	12	489
	P 10 RED LODGE WARD 3	323	13	186
	P 11 RURAL RED LODGE	533	31	622
	P 15 FROMBERG- EDGAR	114	21	513
	P 16 LUTHER- ROSCOE	120	5	275
j	P 2 TOWN OF JOLIET	74	7	249
	P 3 RURAL JOLIET-BOYD	112	11	581
ļ	P 4 TOWN OF BRIDGER	63	11	291
	P 5 RURAL BRIDGER	77	6	356
Ì	P 6 BELFRY- BEARCREEK	88	8	297
	P 7 ROBERTS	162	19	522
	P 8 RED LODGE WARD 2	339	16	288
	P 9 RED LODGE WARD 2	249	9	143
}	SubTotal	2370	169	4812
Total		2370	169	4812



			SECRETARY OF STATE	E
District	Precinct	JESSE JAMES MULLEN	JOHN LAMB	CHRISTI JACOBSEN
HD 55	P 1 ROCKVALE- SILESIA	93	21	498
	P 10 RED LODGE WARD 3	304	17	189
1	P 11 RURAL RED LODGE	486	36	651
	P 15 FROMBERG- EDGAR	101	22	521
	P 16 LUTHER- ROSCOE	106	21	269
	P 2 TOWN OF JOLIET	65	8	253
ļ	P 3 RURAL JOLIET-BOYD	91	14	593
	P 4 TOWN OF BRIDGER	69	13	280
	P 5 RURAL BRIDGER	69	8	361
	P 6 BELFRY- BEARCREEK	83	13	291
i	P 7 ROBERTS	140	12	548
	P 8 RED LODGE WARD 2	307	17	312
	P 9 RED LODGE WARD 2	229	10	156
L	SubTotal	2143	****** 212	4922
Total		2143	212	4922



District	Precinct	ATTORNE	GENERAL
DISUICE	Precinct	BEN ALKE	AUSTIN KNUDSEN
HD 55	P 1 ROCKVALE- SILESIA	127	483
	P 10 RED LODGE WARD 3	321	187
ŀ	P 11 RURAL RED LODGE	546	624
	P 15 FROMBERG- EDGAR	122	521
	P 16 LUTHER- ROSCOE	125	271
ļ	P 2 TOWN OF JOLIET	76	247
	P 3 RURAL JOLIET-BOYD	122	582
ļ	P 4 TOWN OF BRIDGER	80	283
	P 5 RURAL BRIDGER	84	354
Ì	P 6 BELFRY- BEARCREEK	100	283
	P 7 ROBERTS	145	548
	P 8 RED LODGE WARD 2	340	293
	P 9 RED LODGE WARD 2	250	141
	SubTotal	2438	4817
Total		2438	4817



		STATE A	UDITOR
District	Precinct	SJOHN REPKE	JAMES BROWN
HD 55	P 1 ROCKVALE- SILESIA	115	487
	P 10 RED LODGE WARD 3	315	190
	P 11 RURAL RED LODGE	523	638
	P 15 FROMBERG- EDGAR	109	531
Ì	P 16 LUTHER- ROSCOE	118	277
	P 2 TOWN OF JOLIET	67	256
	P 3 RURAL JOLIET-BOYD	112	583
	P 4 TOWN OF BRIDGER	69	282
	P 5 RURAL BRIDGER	73	362
	P 6 BELFRY- BEARCREEK	84	294
1	P 7 ROBERTS	148	551
	P 8 RED LODGE WARD 2	326	297
1	P 9 RED LODGE WARD 2	232	155
<u></u>	SubTotal	2291	4903
Total		2291	4903



District	Precinct		ENDENT OF PUBLIC UCTION
		SHANNON O'BRIEN	SUSIE HEDALEN
HD 55	P 1 ROCKVALE- SILESIA	117	490
	P 10 RED LODGE WARD 3	327	184
	P 11 RURAL RED LODGE	535	629
	P 15 FROMBERG- EDGAR	122	524
j	P 16 LUTHER- ROSCOE	125	275
	P 2 TOWN OF JOLIET	72	253
	P 3 RURAL JOLIET-BOYD	112	585
	P 4 TOWN OF BRIDGER	78	283
	P 5 RURAL BRIDGER	86	349
	P 6 BELFRY- BEARCREEK	83	298
]	P 7 ROBERTS	156	533
	P 8 RED LODGE WARD 2	341	290
	P 9 RED LODGE WARD 2	256	137
	SubTotal	2410	4830 A
Total		2410	4830



District	Precinct	PUBLIC SERVICE DISTR	ICT 2
		MSUSAN BILO	BRAD MOLNAR
HD 55	P 1 ROCKVALE- SILESIA	118	481
	P 10 RED LODGE WARD 3	310	184
	P 11 RURAL RED LODGE	514	641
	P 15 FROMBERG- EDGAR	117	524
1	P 16 LUTHER- ROSCOE	116	279
	P 2 TOWN OF JOLIET	66	259
	P 3 RURAL JOLIET-BOYD	112	578
	P 4 TOWN OF BRIDGER	74	287
) è	P 5 RURAL BRIDGER	83	349
	P 6 BELFRY- BEARCREEK	92	289
ł	P 7 ROBERTS	142	560
	P 8 RED LODGE WARD 2	329	292
	P 9 RED LODGE WARD 2	241	151
	SubTotal	2314	4874
Total		2314	4874



		CLERI	OF THE SUPREME C	COURT
District	Precinct	ERIN FARRIS- OLSEN	ROGER ROOTS	BOWEN
HD 55	P 1 ROCKVALE- SILESIA	117	19	464
	P 10 RED LODGE WARD 3	318	27	163
	P 11 RURAL RED LODGE	524	42	591
	P 15 FROMBERG- EDGAR	112	37	495
	P 16 LUTHER- ROSCOE	114	20	257
	P 2 TOWN OF JOLIET	70	11	243
	P 3 RURAL JOLIET-BOYD	119	22	549
	P 4 TOWN OF BRIDGER	74	10	277
	P 5 RURAL BRIDGER	81	16	333
	P 6 BELFRY- BEARCREEK	87	16	275
1	P 7 ROBERTS	152	26	507
	P 8 RED LODGE WARD 2	326	25	273
	P 9 RED LODGE WARD 2	239	16	136
	SubTotal	2333	287	4563
Total		2333	287	4563



District	Precinct	SUPREME COURT	CHIEF JUSTICE
District		JEREMIAH LYNCH	CORY SWANSON
HD 55	P 1 ROCKVALE- SILESIA	165	412
	P 10 RED LODGE WARD 3	290	192
	P 11 RURAL RED LODGE	553	540
	P 15 FROMBERG- EDGAR	162	462
ļ	P 16 LUTHER- ROSCOE	134	238
	P 2 TOWN OF JOLIET	97	193
ļ	P 3 RURAL JOLIET-BOYD	158	507
	P 4 TOWN OF BRIDGER	102	245
{	P 5 RURAL BRIDGER	100	324
i	P 6 BELFRY- BEARCREEK	123	229
l	P 7 ROBERTS	196	466
	P 8 RED LODGE WARD 2	336	237
}	P 9 RED LODGE WARD 2	242	125
L	SubTotal	2658	4170
Total		2658	4170



ļ		SUPREME COU	RT JUSTICE #3
District	Precinct	KATHERINE BIDEGARAY	DAN WILSON
HD 55	P 1 ROCKVALE- SILESIA	234	341
	P 10 RED LODGE WARD 3	348	134
	P 11 RURAL RED LODGE	633	473
	P 15 FROMBERG- EDGAR	243	374
1	P 16 LUTHER- ROSCOE	159	215
	P 2 TOWN OF JOLIET	115	176
	P 3 RURAL JOLIET-BOYD	213	444
	P 4 TOWN OF BRIDGER	135	208
	P 5 RURAL BRIDGER	147	272
	P 6 BELFRY- BEARCREEK	146	213
	P 7 ROBERTS	236	425
	P 8 RED LODGE WARD 2	365	207
	P 9 RED LODGE WARD 2	270	97
	SubTotal	3244	3579
Total		3244	3579



Shall Judge MATTHEW J WALD of the DISTRICT COURT JUDGE DISTRICT 22,

District	Precinct	DEPT 1 of the state of Montana be retained in office for another term?	
		YES	NO STATE
HD 55	P 1 ROCKVALE- SILESIA	434	98
	P 10 RED LODGE WARD 3	363	60
	P 11 RURAL RED LODGE	844	138
	P 15 FROMBERG- EDGAR	455	118
	P 16 LUTHER- ROSCOE	284	57
	P 2 TOWN OF JOLIET	226	56
	P 3 RURAL JOLIET-BOYD	501	114
	P 4 TOWN OF BRIDGER	271	59
	P 5 RURAL BRIDGER	330	68
	P 6 BELFRY- BEARCREEK	260	73
	P 7 ROBERTS	501	108
	P 8 RED LODGE WARD 2	440	71
	P 9 RED LODGE WARD 2	260	67
	SubTotal	5169	1087
Total		5169	1087



_			
Dietrict	Precinct	STATE REPRESENTA	ATIVE DISTRICT 55
District	Frecince	KIM GILLAN 🛣	BRAD BARKER
HD 55	P 1 ROCKVALE- SILESIA	123	471
	P 10 RED LODGE WARD 3	298	210
	P 11 RURAL RED LODGE	476	689
	P 15 FROMBERG- EDGAR	123	510
İ	P 16 LUTHER- ROSCOE	106	285
	P 2 TOWN OF JOLIET	71	245
	P 3 RURAL JOLIET-BOYD	109	583
	P 4 TOWN OF BRIDGER	80	276
	P 5 RURAL BRIDGER	377	354
]	P 6 BELFRY- BEARCREEK	86	290
	P 7 ROBERTS	148	538
	P 8 RED LODGE WARD 2	313	320
	P 9 RED LODGE WARD 2	236	160
	SubTotal	2546 🕮 🔭	4931
Total		2546	4931



		CLERK OF DIS	TRICT COURT
District	Precinct	ROCHELLE LOYNING	JORDAN PRATT
HD 55	P 1 ROCKVALE- SILESIA	405	139
	P 10 RED LODGE WARD 3	250	91
	P 11 RURAL RED LODGE	764	227
	P 15 FROMBERG- EDGAR	399	187
	P 16 LUTHER- ROSCOE	266	70
	P 2 TOWN OF JOLIET	200	85
	P 3 RURAL JOLIET-BOYD	433	188
	P 4 TOWN OF BRIDGER	217	119
1	P 5 RURAL BRIDGER	250	153
	P 6 BELFRY- BEARCREEK	226	113
	P 7 ROBERTS	462	174
	P 8 RED LODGE WARD 2	404	117
į	P 9 RED LODGE WARD 2	255	75
	SubTotal	4531	1738
Total		4531	1738



			Total			
P 7 ROBERTS	P 7 ROBERTS P 8 RED LODGE P 9 RED LODGE WARD 2 WARD 2					
ļ			1			
		1	1			
1	2	1	14			



District	Precinct	COUNTY COM	MISSIONER #3
DISTRICT	Precinct	BILL E BULLOCK	NORMA 3 SHULTZ
HD 55	P 1 ROCKVALE- SILESIA	341	215
•	P 10 RED LODGE WARD 3	332	145
	P 11 RURAL RED LODGE	788	305
	P 15 FROMBERG- EDGAR	390	207
	P 16 LUTHER- ROSCOE	254	113
	P 2 TOWN OF JOLIET	177	106
	P 3 RURAL JOLIET-BOYD	456	178
	P 4 TOWN OF BRIDGER	241	93
	P 5 RURAL BRIDGER	295	116
	P 6 BELFRY- BEARCREEK	245	106
	P 7 ROBERTS	467	177
	P 8 RED LODGE WARD 2	406	168
	P 9 RED LODGE WARD 2	263	95
	SubTotal	4655	2024
Total		4655	2024

District	Precinct	COUNCIL WARD 1
		類DOUG MILLER际
HD 55	P 8 RED LODGE WARD 2	463
SubTotal		463
Total		463



District	Duagingt	RED LODGE CITY COUNCIL WARD 2			
District	Precinct	TERRI'A DURBIN:	DAN HORMAN	ANNE ROOD	
HD 55	P 9 RED LODGE WARD 2	100	85	190	
	SubTotal	100	85	190	
Total	I	100	85	190	

	•	RED LODGE CITY COUNCIL WARD 3			
District	Precinct	SHINTA DANIELS	MAXWELL MAYNARD		
HD 55	P 10 RED LODGE WARD 3	252	226		
<u> </u>	SubTotal	252	226		
Total		252	226		



District	Precinct	CI-126 A CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION				
		数字的Yes 的Acc	No			
	P 1 ROCKVALE- SILESIA	191	421			
l li	P 10 RED LODGE WARD 3	313	189			
1	P 11 RURAL RED LODGE	582	581			
	P 15 FROMBERG- EDGAR	209	434			
	P 16 LUTHER- ROSCOE	127	264			
	P 2 TOWN OF DOLIET	101	221			
	P 3 RURAL JOLIET-BOYD	175	523			
	P 4 TOWN OF BRIDGER	137	224			
I 1	P 5 RURAL BRIDGER	125	315			
1 '	P 6 BELFRY- BEARCREEK	134	246			
	P 7 ROBERTS	222	474			
	P 8 RED LODGE WARD 2	396	233			
1	P 9 RED LODGE WARD 2	265	130			
	SubTotal	2977	4255			
Total		2977	4255			



District	Precinct	CI-127 A CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION Yes				
HD 55	P 1 ROCKVALE- SILESIA	146	458			
	P 10 RED LODGE WARD 3	232	258			
	P 11 RURAL RED LODGE	439	706			
	P 15 FROMBERG- EDGAR	158	484			
Ì	P 16 LUTHER- ROSCOE	100	289			
	P 2 TOWN OF JOLIET	85	231			
	P 3 RURAL JOLIET-BOYD	146	542			
	P 4 TOWN OF BRIDGER	112	244			
	P 5 RURAL BRIDGER	104	331			
	P 6 BELFRY- BEARCREEK	100	272			
	P 7 ROBERTS	175	516			
	P 8 RED LODGE WARD 2	308	309			
	P 9 RED LODGE WARD 2	217	173			
	SubTotal	2322	4813			
Total		2322	4813			



District	Precinct	CI-128 A CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT PROPOSED BY INITIATIV PETITION Yes				
HD 55	P 1 ROCKVALE- SILESIA	239	376			
	P 10 RED LODGE WARD 3	397	117			
}	P 11 RURAL RED LODGE	733	452			
	P 15 FROMBERG- EDGAR	249	392			
	P 16 LUTHER- ROSCOE	174	224			
}	P 2 TOWN OF JOLIET	107	215			
ĺ	P 3 RURAL JOLIET-BOYD	222	472			
l	P 4 TOWN OF BRIDGER	159	203			
	P 5 RURAL BRIDGER	152	291			
l	P 6 BELFRY- BEARCREEK	162	216			
	P 7 ROBERTS	275	411			
	P 8 RED LODGE WARD 2	404	198			
	P 9 RED LODGE WARD 2	320	85			
	SubTotal	3593	3652			
Total		3593	3652			



		HD 55						
		P 1 ROCKVALE- SILESIA	P 10 RED LODGE WARD 3		P 15 FROMBERG -EDGAR		P 2 TOWN OF JOLIET	P 4 TOWN OF BRIDGER
STATES REPRESENTAT	john metzger				1			
	REILLÝ NEILL							
	Reilly Neill	1	1	3	1	1	1	2